

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,890	02/27/2002		Narayanan Venkitaraman	CM05034H	2114
22917	7590	10/19/2004		EXAMINER	
MOTOROI			HARPER, KEVIN C		
1303 EAST	ALGUN	QUIN ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SCHAUMB	URG, IL	60196	2666		
				DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/083,890	VENKITARAMAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kevin C. Harper	2666				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Au	ugust 2004.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 16,19 and 20 is/are pending in the apt 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 16,19 and 20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		,			
Applicati	ion Papers		·				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	÷.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		.	(DTO (40)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed August 24, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 16, 19 and 20 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Malki et al. in view of Markki et al. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Markki et al. (US 2003/0117965) in view of Malki et al. (US 2001/0046223).

1. Regarding claims 16 and 19, Markki discloses in a communication system supporting mobile IP (abstract, lines 1-2), where a mobile node (fig. 1, MN) connects to a network infrastructure (internet) via a gateway router (router represented by a circled "X" connecting the MN to the internet), a method comprising the mobile node attaching to a mobile gateway router (fig. 2, item 115) associated with a mobile network which is a home network of the mobile node (para. 47, lines 1-2). However, Markki does not disclose the mobile node determining that it is attached to the mobile gateway router, determining a home address of the mobile gateway router, obtaining a care-of-address comprising the home address of the mobile gateway router, sending the care-of-address to the home agent of the mobile node, and maintaining a binding update list

of correspondent nodes that the mobile node has been communicating with. Malki discloses a mobile node determining that it is attached to a mobile gateway router (figs. 2-3; para 32, lines 1-5), determining a care-of-address that is the home address of the mobile gateway node (para. 32, lines 6-10), sending the care-of-address to the home agent of the mobile node (para. 32, lines 15-19), and maintaining an inherent binding update list of correspondent nodes that the mobile node has been communicating with (para. 32, lines 18-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to self-register the mobility of a mobile node with a home agent in the invention of Markki in order to enhance mobility registration by controlling network messages by the mobile node (Malki, para. 54). Further regarding claim 19, the mobile node of Malki is a mobile router (note: the mobile node performs Internet routing functions by forwarding IP packets to correspondent nodes -- para. 9, lines 8-10).

2. Regarding claim 20, in Markki the mobile node (fig. 2 MN) is detached from the mobile network (para. 49, lines 1-2).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:30 AM to 8:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao, can be reached at 571-272-3174. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,890

Art Unit: 2666

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only (applications must be associated with a customer number). For more information about the PAIR system, see pair.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

October 15, 2004

Seema S. RAO 10/15/04 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000